

Poster ID#: _____

Reviewer's Name: _____



2016 SURF Poster – Peer Review

STUDENT MUST BE PRESENT. This info will be shared with the presenter.

Score each on a 5-point scale: 1- Poor, 2-Marginally Acceptable, 3-Acceptable, 4-Very Good, 5-Excellent

Poster Content

- | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1) Background & Motivation | | | | | |
| Is the background well-structured and clearly explained? Are previous works properly cited? Is the motivation for the research clearly addressed? Does the presenter adequately explain the importance or impact of the research? (Abstract optional) | | | | | |
| 2) Objectives & Problem Statement | | | | | |
| Are the objectives stated? Is the problem well formulated and clearly explained? | | | | | |
| 3) Methods & Approach | | | | | |
| Are the methods and their relevance to the research problem explained clearly? | | | | | |
| 4) Results & Analysis | | | | | |
| Are the findings summarized clearly and with appropriate tables and plots?
If actual results are not available, are expected results discussed? | | | | | |
| 5) Conclusions | | | | | |
| Are the conclusions tied to the problem statement?
Do they make sense based on the results obtained (or expected)? | | | | | |

Poster Presentation

- | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1) Presentation Skills | | | | | |
| Is the presenter enthusiastic and prepared to answer questions?
Does the presenter discuss the research in a manner that is easily understood?
(e.g., explains technical terms) | | | | | |
| 2) Organization | | | | | |
| Is the poster well designed and easy to read (font size, images, use of color, etc.)?
Is the content of the poster (e.g., the headings, text, charts, figures, tables) logically organized and easy to follow? | | | | | |

Comments

What did you like best about the poster and the student's discussion about the research?

Provide a suggestion on how the presenter can improve.